

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,580	01/08/2004	. Matthew Sommers	GLOZ 2 00153 (#133821)	6610
27885 7590 06/15/2005 FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			EXAMINER	
			PREVIL, DANIEL	
	JPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR LAND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2636	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/753,580	SOMMERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel Previl	2636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> .	January 2004.				
·= · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract o	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/08/2004. 		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/753,580

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutchison (US 6,527,422).

Regarding claims 1, 5, Hutchison discloses a signaling control device apparatus (col. 1, lines 14-17) comprising: a light source including at least one LED, the light source having a light emitting surface (main circuit board 48) (fig. 3, ref. 3) (fig. 3; col. 6, lines 30-35); at least one sensor set to detect a light directed to the light emitting surface (fig. 3) (col. 10, lines 33-36) and generate a control signal indicative of a presence of the light (col. 10, lines 60-67).

Although, Hutchison discloses all the limitations above but fails to specify a sensor that detects an external light load. Since, Hutchison discloses the sun setting to the west late in the afternoon (col. 7, lines 5-7). So, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use photodiodes (PD2) to sense accurately the sun that setting to the west late in the afternoon in order to

Art Unit: 2636

control the visibility range of a traffic signal, thereby enhancing drivers' performance as taught by Hutchison (col. 2, lines 25-39).

Regarding claim 2, Hutchison discloses one sensor includes a photodiode (col. 10, lines 33-36).

Regarding claim 3, Hutchison discloses one LED and at least one sensor are disposed on the printed circuit board (fig. 3).

Regarding claim 4, Hutchison discloses one sensor is positioned in a location remote from the printed circuit board (col. 10, lines 63-67; col. 11, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 6, Hutchison discloses the electrical control system triggers an increase in current being supplied to the at least one LED in response to the received control signal (col. 10, lines 36-48).

Regarding claim 7, Hutchinson discloses the current is continuous (col. 10, line 21 and line 37).

Regarding claim 8, Hutchison discloses the current is pulsing (col. 10, line 21-22 and line 54-55).

Regarding claim 9, Hutchison discloses the current is raised by pulsing the current at a frequency higher than visually perceivable (50%) (col. 10, lines 54-54-63).

Regarding claim 10, Hutchison discloses a control system to receive a control signal indicative of a value of the magnitude of the load

and to generate an increased current to be supplied to the at least one LED in proportion to the load magnitude (col. 7, lines 1-11).

Although, Hutchison discloses all the limitations in claim 1 but fails to specify a sensor detects a magnitude of the light load. Since, Hutchison discloses the sun setting to the west late in the afternoon at an elevation of 10 degree or less (col. 7, lines 5-7). So, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use photodiodes (PD2) to detect accurately the sun that setting to the west late in the afternoon at an elevation of 10 degree or less in order to control the visibility range of a traffic signal, thereby enhancing drivers' performance as taught by Hutchison (col. 2, lines 25-39).

Regarding claim 11, Hutchison discloses a signaling control device apparatus (col. 1, lines 14-17) comprising: providing a light source including at least one LED, the light source having a light emitting surface (main circuit board 48) (fig. 3, ref. 3) (fig. 3; col. 6, lines 30-35); setting at least one sensor set to detect a light directed to the light emitting surface (fig. 3) (col. 10, lines 33-36) and in response to detecting a presence of the light, generate a control signal indicative of a presence of the light (col. 10, lines 60-67).

Although, Hutchison discloses all the limitations above but fails to specify a sensor that detects an external light load. Since, Hutchison discloses the sun setting to the west late in the afternoon (col. 7, lines 5-

Application/Control Number: 10/753,580

Art Unit: 2636

7). So, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use photodiodes (PD2) to sense accurately the sun that setting to the west late in the afternoon in order to control the visibility range of a traffic signal, thereby enhancing drivers' performance as taught by Hutchison (col. 2, lines 25-39).

Page 5

Regarding claim 12, Hutchison discloses one sensor includes a photodiode (col. 10, lines 33-36).

Regarding claim 13, Hutchison discloses one LED and at least one sensor are disposed on the printed circuit board (fig. 3).

Regarding claim 14, Hutchison discloses one sensor is positioned in a location remote from the printed circuit board (col. 10, lines 63-67; col. 11, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 15, Hutchison discloses the step of receiving the control signal by an electrical control system (abstract).

Regarding claim 16, Hutchison discloses the electrical control system triggers an increase in current being supplied to the at least one LED in response to the received control signal (col. 10, lines 36-48).

Regarding claim 17, Hutchinson discloses a continuous current and a pulsing current (col. 10, lines 21-22, line 37 and lines 54-55).

Regarding claim 18, Hutchison discloses the current is raised by pulsing the current at a frequency higher than visually perceivable (50%) (col. 10, lines 54-54-63).

Application/Control Number: 10/753,580 Page 6

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 19, Hutchison discloses detecting a magnitude of the light load (elevation of 10 degree or less) (col. 7, lines 5-6) and generating an output control signal indicative of a value of the light load magnitude (col. 7, lines 5-8).

Regarding claim 20, Hutchison discloses the step of receiving the magnitude value by an electrical control system (col. 7, lines 1-6) and supplying an elevated current to the at least one LED, the elevated current proportionate to the detected light load magnitude (col. 7, lines 5-8).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - O. S. Field (US 2,376,534) discloses a light signal for railroads.

Erickson et al. (US 4,536,847) discloses a Heliostat control employing direct current motor.

Colby (US 6,809,655) discloses a multi-mode signal.

Zimmermann et al. (US 5,952,917) discloses a taillight fixture of a vehicle preferably a motor vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Previl whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/753,580 Page 7

Art Unit: 2636

2971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday. The examiner can

also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Previl Examiner

Art Unit 2636

DΡ

June 1, 2005.

JEFFERY HOFSASS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER